

MARK D. FOWLER (Bar No. 124235)
mark.fowler@dlapiper.com
DAVID ALBERTI (Bar. No. 220625)
david.alberti@dlapiper.com
CHRISTINE K. CORBETT (Bar No. 209128)
christine.corbett@dlapiper.com
YAKOV M. ZOLOTOREV (Bar No. 224260)
yakov.zolotorev@dlapiper.com
CARRIE L. WILLIAMSON (Bar No. 230873)
carrie.williamson@dlapiper.com

DLA PIPER US LLP
2000 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215
Tel: 650.833.2000
Fax: 650.833.2001

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
Sun Microsystems, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.,
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,
v.
NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC.,
Defendant/Counterplaintiff.

CASE NO. 3:07-CV-05488 EDL

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF
ELECTRONIC AND OTHER
INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO
DOCUMENT REQUESTS**

To facilitate the cost-effective exchange of responsive, non-privileged documents, including electronically stored information ("ESI"), in response to requests for production of documents pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34, the parties stipulate as follows.

The process of collecting and producing ESI and other documents in response to Rule 34 document requests shall include the following:

(1) on March 20, 2008, each side shall identify in writing to the other side fifteen of its

1 own employees and consultants (plus the named inventors on the asserted patents currently
2 employed by that side) who that side believes, in good faith, are most likely to possess the most
3 relevant documents (ESI or paper) pertaining to the claims and defenses in the action;

4 (2) in responding to any Rule 34 document request, and subject to any properly
5 asserted objection thereto, each side shall collect and produce any responsive, non-privileged ESI
6 and other documents possessed by the custodians on its list;

7 (3) after the initial exchange of document custodian lists, each side may identify in
8 writing to the other side the names of additional employees and consultants of the other side from
9 whom responsive, non-privileged ESI and other documents in their possession also must be
10 collected and produced;

11 (4) each side may identify up to 15 such additional document custodians of the other
12 side;

13 (5) such 15 additional custodians of the other side may be identified at one time, or
14 individually or in groups at different times, but no such custodian shall be identified later than 45
15 days before the fact discovery cut-off date, absent good cause;

16 (6) upon being presented with the name of such an additional custodian, the receiving
17 party may object within 7 days if, following a reasonable investigation, it believes in good faith
18 that the identification is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, that the burden
19 of collecting information from the person so identified outweighs the value of the information
20 expected to be discovered, that the identification is made for an impermissible purpose, or any
21 other grounds under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Following an objection, the parties
22 shall meet and confer within the following 7 days in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the
23 dispute is not resolved within the 7 day period, the party seeking documents from the additional
24 custodians may file a motion with the court. If the receiving party does not object to the
25
26
27
28

1 identification, it shall produce all non-privileged documents in the possession of that custodian
2 that are responsive to each Rule 34 document request previously served by the other side no later
3 than the later of (a) the date documents are due to be produced pursuant to a then- pending
4 document request, or (b) 30 days after the identification of the additional custodian;

5 (7) in responding to a Rule 34 document request, a party shall not be required to
6 preserve, search for, collect or produce documents in the individual possession of employees and
7 consultants other than the custodians identified pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (3) above;

8 (8) as used in this stipulation, ESI and documents "possessed" by or in the
9 "possession" of an individual custodian means information held or maintained by that person, or
10 by any secretary, administrative assistant or other comparable employee working directly for that
11 person, (a) in paper files, binders, calendars, stacks of paper, loose papers or in any other written
12 materials located in that person's own office(s), work space(s) or home(s), (b) in electronic files
13 in desktop or notebook computers or portable disk drives, memory sticks, etc. used by that person
14 for work purposes, and (c) in personalized electronic accounts for and accessible by that person
15 on a party's corporate network or server (e.g., an email account maintained by or for that person
16 or a folder on a network drive that is dedicated for that person's use);

17 (9) a privileged document log listing privileged documents in the possession of
18 persons identified on the parties' document custodian lists that are responsive to a Rule 34
19 document request and have been withheld from a document production. The parties will produce
20 an initial privilege log on April 30, 2008 and will supplement this privilege log on the last day of
21 each month through the end of document production.

22 (10) any supplemental privileged document log required by the other side's
23 identification of an additional document custodian shall be served no later than 60 days after the
24 identification of the additional custodian.

1 Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) through (8) above:

2 (a) the sources of the ESI and other documents that are specifically required to be
3 produced under the Patent Local Rules are not limited by this stipulation (i.e., it is not grounds to
4 withhold from production information required to be produced under the Patent Local Rules
5 because the information is not in the possession of one of the listed document custodians);

6
7 (b) nothing in this stipulation relieves the parties of their obligation to search for,
8 collect and produce non-privileged, responsive ESI and other documents that are maintained by
9 the parties on corporate, department or group networks or servers that are accessed by multiple
10 employees, or in central, department or group document repositories (e.g., source code
11 management systems, libraries of specifications or manuals, customer support websites etc.);

12 (c) subject to a detailed showing of need by the requesting party, neither party is
13 required to search or produce information stored on back-up tapes;

14 (d) neither party is required to preserve, search for, collect or produce

15 (i) voicemail,

16 (ii) information stored on hand-held devices (such as a cell phone, Blackberry,
17 etc. – hand-held devices do not include tablet PCs, notebook computers or laptop computers), or

18 (iii) instant messages;

19 (e) neither party is required to preserve or search e-mail servers for responsive
20 documents other than the e-mail accounts of the currently employed named inventors and the
21 document custodians identified pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (3) above;

22 (f) this stipulation does not limit discovery pertaining to expert witnesses; and

23 (g) this stipulation does not limit who may be noticed for deposition.

24 Each party understands and acknowledges there may be other employees and consultants
25 of the other party, in addition to the named inventors and the persons on the document custodian

1 lists, who individually may possess relevant ESI or other documents. However, as described
2 above, in order to conduct discovery efficiently, including reducing the burden and cost of
3 responding to discovery, the parties agree to limit the scope of discovery as specified above.

4 In producing documents in response to either the Patent Local Rules or a Rule 34
5 document request, the parties shall produce electronic documents in single page TIFF format with
6 accompanying .dat files with extracted text from the documents and image load files. The fixed
7 images will bear production numbers and identify the producing side. A producing party may, at
8 its election, produce source code and spreadsheets in native format rather than in TIFF format. A
9 requesting party may make a request for native versions of specific documents, but no party may
10 seek to compel production of native format files of electronic documents on a wholesale basis. If,
11 at any time, a producing party deems a requesting party's demand for native format documents to
12 be excessive, the parties will meet and confer regarding the scope of the request.

13 As part of their existing document preservation obligations, the parties shall take
14 reasonable steps to preserve all ESI that is within the personal possession of document custodians
15 identified pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 3, or that is stored in central storage systems referred to in
16 paragraph 10(b), and that is reasonably expected to contain relevant data. In the case of
17 individuals not identified under paragraph 1, the existing obligation to preserve potentially-
18 relevant ESI dissolves on May 1, 2008 unless such individuals are identified as document
19 custodians pursuant to paragraph 3 before that date. For all individuals identified after May 1,
20 2008, the duty to preserve potentially-relevant ESI shall begin upon their identification pursuant
21 to paragraph 3. These provisions notwithstanding, neither party nor its employees shall
22 intentionally destroy documents or other information known to be relevant to the case with the
23 intention of depriving the opposing party of the documents or information. However: (i) the
24 parties may continue to implement their policies and practices predating the filing of this action

1 pertaining to the preservation and rotation of back-up tapes; and (ii) the parties shall not have any
2 obligation to preserve Future Records. Future Records are records created after January 1, 2008,
3 that are drafts, interim versions, database updates or modifications (such as modifications
4 resulting from the routine entry or modification of records) or other ephemera that would not be
5 preserved in the ordinary course of business. All provisions of this Order are subject to
6 modification for good cause shown.
7

8 Dated: March 3, 2008

9
10 By /s/ Christine K. Corbett
11 MARK FOWLER
12 CHRISTINE K. CORBETT
13 CARRIE L. WILLIAMSON
14 DLA PIPER US LLP
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant
16 SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.

17
18 Dated: March 3, 2008

19 By /s/ Jeffrey G. Homrig
20 EDWARD R. REINES
21 JEFFREY G. HOMRIG
22 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES
23 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterplaintiff
24 NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC.

25
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: _____, 2008

28 Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte
U.S. Magistrate Judge